Table 1a Between- and Within-cluster variance, and Intraclass Correlations for Judgments and Justifications in the Peer and Home Contexts

Outcomes	$S_b{}^2$	$S_w^2$	ho	ESS
Peer Context Judgments			•	
Undifferentiated Exclusion	0.37	2.27	0.14	303
Group-based Exclusion (GBE)	0.49	2.57	0.16	304
Parent-sanctioned GBE	0.73	3.28	0.18	304
Peer-sanctioned GBE	0.62	3.25	0.16	304
Peer Context Justifications				
Societal	0.002	0.18	0.01	300
Victim Blaming	0.02	0.22	0.07	302
Personal Choice	0.0003	0.24	0.001	300
Moral	0.01	0.11	0.07	302
Excluder Empathy	0.01	0.15	0.05	301
Home Context Judgments				
Undifferentiated Exclusion	0.73	2.53	0.22	305
Group-based Exclusion	0.78	2.89	0.21	305
Parent-sanctioned GBE	0.82	2.71	0.23	306
Peer-sanctioned GBE	0.73	2.90	0.20	305
Home Context Justifications				
Societal	0.01	0.18	0.04	301
Victim Blaming	0.01	0.24	0.02	301
Personal Choice	$1.437e^{-15}$	0.23	0.00	300
Moral	0.01	0.10	0.05	301
Excluder Empathy	0.73	2.90	0.20	305

Note.  $S_b^2$  = between-cluster variance,  $S_w^2$  = within-cluster variance,  $\rho$  = intraclass correlation; ESS = effective sample size. Variance components were calculated using the lmer function of the lme4 package in r. Intraclass correlations were calculated using the formula  $\rho = S_b^2/(S_b^2 + S_w^2)$  (see Killip, Mahfoud, & Pearce, 2004).

Table 2a Wild Cluster Bootstrapping for Intervention Effects on Undifferentiated Exclusion Judgments

	Pre-test		Pos	t-test	6-month follow-up		
	Pre- Bootstrap			Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	
Group	B (SE) CI	<i>B (SE)</i> CI	<i>B (SE)</i> CI	<i>B (SE)</i> CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	
Peer Context							
Skills	47 (.21)*	47 (.12)***	14 (.19)	14 (.11)	.11 (.18)	.11 (.14)	
	[88,06]	[71,23]	[51, .23]	[36, .08]	[25, .47]	[17, .39]	
Skills + Contact	07 (.21)	07 (.23)	.23 (.19)	.23 (.20)	.50 (.18)**	.50 (.16)**	
	[48, .34]	[52, .39]	[14, .60]	[16, .62]	[.14, .86]	[.19, .82]	
Home Context							
Skills	43 (.22) <sup>+</sup>	$43 (.22)^{+}$	.17 (.20)	.17 (.20)	$.35(.19)^{+}$	.35 (.17)*	
	[87, .01]	[87, .01]	[24, .57]	[24, .57]	[02, .72]	[.02, .69]	
Skills + Contact	.11 (.22)	.11 (.26)	.63 (.21)**	.63 (.26)*	.90 (.19)***	.90 (.19)***	
	[34, .55]	[40, .62]	[.23, 1.04]	[.12, 1.14]	[.53, 1.27]	[.53, 1.27]	

Note. Regression models for treatment group effects before and after wild cluster bootstrapping (Cameron, Gelbach, & Miller, 2008), at pre-test, post-test, and 6-month follow-up. Ethnicity and gender were controlled for in the models. Referent group = control group. All confidence intervals are calculated as 95% confidence intervals. B = treatment effect slope, SE = standard error, CI = confidence interval.

 $p^{+}$  p < .10 \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

Table 3a Wild Cluster Bootstrapping for Intervention Effects on Undefined Group-based Exclusion Judgments

	Pre	e-test	Pos	t-test	6-month follow-up		
	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	
Group	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	<i>B (SE)</i> CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	
Peer Context							
Skills	50 (.22)*	50 (.23)*	.40 (.18)*	.40 (.16)*	.74 (.19)***	.74 (.15)***	
	[94,06]	[95,05]	[.04, .76]	[.09, .72]	[.37, 1.10]	[.45, 1.04]	
Skills + Contact	36 (.22)	36 (.35)	.41 (.18)*	.41 (.20)*	.76 (.19)***	.76 (.19)***	
	[80, .08]	[-1.05, .33]	[.05, .77]	[.02, .80]	[.40, 1.13]	[.40, 1.13]	
Home Context							
Skills	47 (.24)*	47 (.20)*	.43 (.21)*	.43 (.15)**	.64 (.20)**	.64 (.14)***	
	[94,001]	[86,08]	[.01, .84]	[.14, .73]	[.24, 1.04]	[.37, .92]	
Skills + Contact	12 (.24)	12 (.27)	.63 (.21)**	.63 (.20)**	.97 (.20)***	.97 (.17)***	
	[59, .35]	[65, .41]	[.22, 1.04]	[.24, 1.02]	[.57, 1.37]	[.64, 1.31]	

Note. Regression models for treatment group effects before and after wild cluster bootstrapping (Cameron, Gelbach, & Miller, 2008), at pre-test, post-test, and 6-month follow-up. Ethnicity and gender were controlled for in the models. Referent group = control group. All confidence intervals are calculated as 95% confidence intervals. B = treatment effect slope, SE = standard error, CI = confidence interval.  $^{+}p < .10 *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.$ 

	Pre-test		Pos	st-test	6-month follow-up		
	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	
Group	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	<i>B (SE)</i> CI	B (SE) CI	
Peer Context							
Skills	49 (.25)*	49 (.14)***	$.39 (.22)^{+}$	.39 (.17)*	.81 (.21)***	.81 (.17)***	
Skills + Contact	[98,01] 01 (.25) [49, .48]	[77,22] 01 (.17) [35, .33]	[04, .82] .61 (.22)** [.18, 1.04]	[.06, .73] .61 (.20)** [.22, 1]	[.40, 1.22] .99 (.21)*** [.58, 1.40]	[.48, 1.15] .99 (.13)*** [.73, 1.25]	
Home Context							
Skills	34 (.24)	34 (.19) <sup>+</sup>	$.37(.22)^{+}$	.37 (.17)*	.60 (.20)**	.60 (.16)***	
Skills + Contact	[81, .13] .15 (.24) [32, .62]	[71, .03] .15 (.19) [22, .52]	[06, .79] .65 (.22)** [.23, 1.08]	[.04, .71] .65 (.21)** [.24, 1.06]	[.20, .99] 1.06 (.20)*** [.66, 1.45]	[.29, .92] 1.06 (.17)*** [.73, 1.40]	

Wild Cluster Bootstrapping for Intervention Effects on Peer-sanctioned Group-based Exclusion Judgments

*Note*. Regression models for treatment group effects before and after wild cluster bootstrapping (Cameron, Gelbach, & Miller, 2008), at pre-test, post-test, and 6-month follow-up. Ethnicity and gender were controlled for in the models. Referent group = control group. All confidence intervals are calculated as 95% confidence intervals. *B* = treatment effect slope, *SE* = standard error, CI = confidence interval.

Table 4a

 $<sup>^{+}</sup>p \le .10 *p \le .05. **p \le .01. ***p \le .001.$ 

Table 5a Wild Cluster Bootstrapping for Intervention Effects on Parent-sanctioned Group-based Exclusion Judgments

	Pre-test Pre-test		Pos	st-test	6-month follow-up		
	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	Pre- Bootstrap	Wild Cluster Bootstrap	
Group	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	B (SE) CI	
Peer Context							
Skills	$47(.25)^{+}$	47 (.20)*	.28 (.23)	$.28 (.17)^{+}$	.63 (.22)**	.63 (.16)***	
	[97, .03]	[86,08]	[18, .73]	[06, .62]	[.19, 1.07]	[.32, .95]	
Skills + Contact	09 (.26)	09 (.28)	.32 (.23)	.32 (.26)	.77 (.22)***	.77 (.20)***	
	[59, .41]	[64, .46]	[13, .78]	[19, .83]	[.33, 1.21]	[.38, 1.16]	
Home Context							
Skills	21 (.23)	21 (.20)	$.42(.21)^*$	.42 (.17)*	.46 (.20)*	.46 (.13)***	
	[67, .24]	[60, .18]	[.01, .84]	[.09, .76]	[.06, .86]	[.20, .72]	
Skills + Contact	.12 (.23)	.12 (.25)	.65 (.21)**	.65 (.21)**	.83 (.20)***	.83 (.18)***	
	[34, .58]	[54, .12]	[.23, 1.07]	[.23, 1.07]	[.43, 1.24]	[.48, 1.18]	

Note. Regression models for treatment group effects before and after wild cluster bootstrapping (Cameron, Gelbach, & Miller, 2008), at pre-test, post-test, and 6-month follow-up. Ethnicity and gender were controlled for in the models. Referent group = control group. All confidence intervals are calculated as 95% confidence intervals. B = treatment effect slope, SE =standard error, CI = confidence interval.

 $<sup>^{+}</sup>$ p < .10 \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

Table 6a Means and Standard Deviations for Baseline Equivalency Assessment for Exclusion Judgments and Justifications by Ethnicity and Treatment Group

	<u>Judgm</u> <u>Group-Based</u> <u>Undifferentiated Exclusion</u> <u>Exclusion</u>				Based Sased	nents by Context Parent Sanctioned Group-Based Exclusion Group-Based Exclusion			Peer Sanction	
	<u>Pee</u> M(S		<u>Iome</u> I(SD)	Peer M(SD)	Home M(SD)	<u>Peer</u> M(SD)	<u>Home</u> M(SD)		<del></del>	Home M(SD)
Ethnicity	**	*	***	***	***	***	***	**	*	***
Palestinian-Israeli/P-I Jewish-Israeli/J-I	2.85(1 3.99(1	/	` /	4.07(1.77) 5.33(1.46)	3.12(1.71) 4.75(1.72)	2.92(1.79) 4.48(1.87)	`	,	/	.53(1.55) .14(1.86)
Treatment Group	-		_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Control	3.62(1	1.56) 3.1	1(1.77)	5.01(1.60)	4.15(1.77)	3.91(1.97)	3.06(1.6	9) 4.19(	1.98) 3	.41(1.79)
Skills	3.17(1	1.57) 2.7	1(1.68)	1.52(1.70)	3.71(1.86)	3.46(1.87)	2.87(1.7	9) 3.71(	1.85) 3	.10(1.78)
Skills+Contact	3.53(1	1.69) 3.20	0(1.90)	1.63(1.87)	4.01(2.05)	3.79(2.11)	3.16(2.0	8) 4.15(2	2.02) 3	.54(2.08)
						by Context	3.6			
	Social-Con		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>otype</u>	-	1 Choice	<u>Mo</u>			<u>Empathy</u>
	<u>Peer</u>	<u>Home</u>	<u>Peer</u>	<u>Home</u>	<u>Peer</u>	<u>Home</u>	<u>Peer</u>	<u>Home</u>	<u>Peer</u>	<u>Home</u>
Ethnicity	*	***	***	**	_	-	***	***	*	**
Palestinian-Israeli/P-I	0.57(0.43)	0.71(0.40)	0.53(0.50)	0.50(0.35)	0.40(0.49)	0.39(0.49)	0.17(0.30)	0.12(0.26)	0.15(0.36)	0.12(0.32)
Jewish-Israeli/J-I	0.45(0.41)	0.54(0.44)	0.27(0.44)	.35(0.48)	0.37(0.48)	0.34(0.48)	0.32(0.36)	0.28(0.36)	0.24(0.43)	0.23(0.42)
Treatment Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*
Control	0.51(0.42)	0.60(0.43)	0.43(0.50)	0.39(0.49)	0.40(0.49)	0.40(0.49)	0.24(0.32)	0.22(0.34)	0.25(0.43)	0.24(0.43)
Skills	0.56(0.42)	0.69(0.43)	0.39(0.49)	0.45(0.50)	0.37(0.49)	0.33(0.47)	0.21(0.32)	0.17(0.30)	0.18(0.38)	0.19(0.39)
Skills+Contact	0.47(0.42)	0.57(0.43)	0.37(0.49)	0.43(0.50)	0.38(0.49)	0.37(0.49)	0.28(0.37)	0.22(0.34)	0.17(0.38)	0.10(0.30)

*Note.* Significance values for each follow-up comparisons is represented above each pair of means and standard deviations. \*=p < 0.05; \*\*=p < 0.01; \*\*\*=p < 0.001; -= not significant.

Table 7a Pairwise Comparisons of Means and Standard Error for Justifications by Gender, Ethnicity, and Scenario

	Social-Conventional	Stereotype	Personal Choice	<u>Moral</u>	Excluder Empathy
	M(SE)	M(SE)	M(SE)	M(SE)	M(SE)
Overall use of Justification	0.49(0.02) <sup>a***</sup>	0.29(0.02) <sup>b</sup> bc, bd*	0.38(0.02) <sup>c</sup> cb*; cd***	0.30(0.15) <sup>bc</sup>	$0.20(0.16)^{d}$ da, dc***; db*
<u>Gender</u> Female Male	0.43(0.03) 0.55(0.03)	0.25(0.03) 0.33(0.03)	0.37(0.03) 0.38(0.03)	0.35(0.02) 0.26(0.02)	0.23(0.02) 0.18(0.02)
Ethnicity Palestinian-Israeli Jewish-Israeli	*** 0.57(0.03) 0.42(0.03)	*** 0.37(0.03) 0.22(0.03)	* 0.42(0.03) 0.33(0.03)	*** 0.22(0.02) 0.39(0.03)	** 0.16(0.02) 0.25(0.02)
Scenario Peer Family	*** 0.44(0.02) 0.54(0.02)	0.29(0.02) 0.29(0.02)	0.36(0.02) 0.38(0.02)	*** 0.34(0.02) 0.27(0.02)	0.20(0.02) 0.20(0.02)

Note. Significance values for each pairwise comparison is represented above each pair of Means and Standard Errors; Different superscript letters for the "Overall use of Justification" row indicate significant mean differences across justifications; \* = p < 0.05; \*\* = p < 0.01; \*\*\* = p < 0.001; - = not significant.